

health and the environment at risk, it will prevent economic redevelopment in those areas.

EPA, through our administrative reforms, has done much to improve the overall pace, cost, and fairness of the program. These administrative reforms represent permanent changes in the way EPA does business and reflect the Administration's vision for the future of Superfund—a future that builds upon our progress over the past four years. These reforms are building a faster, fairer, more efficient Superfund program which: (1) achieves our goal of 20% reduction in total cleanup process time, with 439 completed cleanups (as of 7/7/97) and more than 480 site cleanup constructions underway; (2) includes 75% of Superfund long-term cleanups performed by responsible parties; and (3) reduces cleanup costs towards our goal of 20% cost reduction.

Based on the Agency's administrative reforms, EPA is ready to accelerate the cleanup program. Much of the pre-cleanup work has been completed and actual cleanup work is ready to begin at many toxic waste sites. The necessary contracts to implement an accelerated cleanup program are in place. We have worked with state offices in identifying sites ready for cleanup and will continue to coordinate with them on cleanup activities.

I urge you to support the funding level for the Superfund program as outlined in the Budget Agreement while we continue our discussions on Superfund reauthorization.

Sincerely,

CAROL M. BROWNER.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT  
AND BUDGET,

Washington, DC, June 25, 1997.

Hon. JOHN D. DINGELL,  
Ranking Member, Committee on Commerce, U.S.  
House of Representatives, Washington, DC  
DEAR REPRESENTATIVE DINGELL: I am writing to clarify the Administration's views regarding the Superfund funding that was included in the recent Bipartisan Budget Agreement.

On June 5th, Congressmen Kasich and Oxley conducted a colloquy on this subject on the floor of the House of Representatives. As they discussed, the Budget Agreement establishes a reserve fund to provide \$200 million per year for Superfund orphan shares. As this would be mandatory spending, the reserve fund requires authorizing legislation to be reported by the Committees on Commerce and Transportation and Infrastructure, although the reserve fund could be authorized in a reconciliation bill, a Superfund reform bill, or other legislative vehicle. The Administration does not agree that these funds should become available only after the Congress passes a Superfund reform bill.

Regarding the \$700 million of additional requested funding, the Administration adheres to the language of the Budget Agreement, which provides that Superfund appropriations will be at the President's level "if policies can be worked out." We have always understood this to mean that the Administration needs to reach agreement with the appropriate Committees regarding the way in which the supplemental cleanup funds would be spent. We do not agree that the additional Superfund cleanup funding agreed to in the budget Agreement is contingent on any prior legislation, much less a comprehensive reform bill.

The Administration remains committed to working with Congress to enact a bipartisan consensus-based Superfund reform bill. However, we believe that the increased Superfund appropriations should not be held up until this occurs, since these funds are ur-

gently needed to eliminate the backlog of Superfund cleanups and improve the quality of life for more than 27 million Americans, including over four million children, who live within four miles of a Superfund site.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I can be of further assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

FRANKLIN D. RAINES,  
Director.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the bill (H.R. 2158) making appropriations for the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and for sundry independent agencies, commissions, corporations, and offices for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes, and that I be permitted to include tables, charts and other extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GIBBONS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

#### DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1998

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 184 and rule XXIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for consideration of the bill, H.R. 2158.

□ 1830

#### IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 2158) making appropriations for the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and for sundry independent agencies, commissions, corporations, and offices for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes, with Mr. COMBEST in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered as having been read the first time.

Under the rule, the gentleman from California [Mr. LEWIS] and the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. STOKES] will each control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California [Mr. LEWIS].

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, it is my pleasure today to outline the work of the Subcommittee on VA, HUD and Independent Agencies as well as the full Committee on Appropriations in developing the fiscal year 1998 VA-HUD and Independent Agencies appropriations bill.

First, I want to thank my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. STOKES], and his staff for their helping in crafting this bill. Our working relationship, I believe, is a model of how appropriations subcommittees can and should work together on a bipartisan basis. We have effectively controlled the rate of growth of Federal spending through our bill while making sure that the needs of our constituents are met in communities across the country.

Mr. Chairman, beginning with the fiscal year 1995 rescission bill, this subcommittee has led the way in our battle with the budget by reducing spending and fully paying for the emergency supplemental. While the administration and Congress have finally agreed in principle to balancing that budget by 2002, this subcommittee has been moving in that direction for well over 2 years now, and so far we have produced some \$20 billion in spending cuts to show for our efforts.

Because of the bipartisan budget agreement with the President, this year the subcommittee had the chance to catch its breath. The subcommittee's 602(b) allocation for fiscal year 1998 will provide us with the funding levels necessary to continue our commitment to serving veterans, protecting the environment, providing housing for the poorest of the poor, and ensuring America's future leadership in space.

With regard to space programs, I hope that each of my colleagues have had an opportunity to focus upon the remarkable NASA Pathfinder mission to Mars. If this mission does not provide the catalyst for our next generation of scientists, then I certainly do not know what will.

We are able to achieve all of these important results while still holding a line on spending of hard-earned taxpayers' dollars. Our allocation should allow us to go through the process quickly and eventually gain a signature by the President.

Since our counterparts in the Senate received an allocation well below ours in the House, we are in for some very tough decisions nonetheless as we go down this road. Not everything in this bill will find its way in the final conference report. While the President may not wholeheartedly endorse every decision reflected in this bill, it is my hope that when we conference in September, we can come to a mutual agreement on a final bill that will be signed.

Let me quickly move to some of the specifics of this bill beginning with our 602(b) allocation.